



Motorised Fire & Smoke Protection in Ventilation Ducts

Mechanical Smoke Extraction

ASHRAE Seminar College of the North Atlantic, Doha, Qatar 20th April 2013

Agenda

- Introduction
- Benefits of Motorising Fire & Smoke Dampers
- Mechanical Smoke Extraction (Smoke Control)
- Monitoring & Control Systems for F&S applications
- UL / EN Comparison

2





Introduction

Belimo Safety Solutions Europe



Fire protection in buildings – The 3 pillars of fire protection

Constructional

- Fire compartments
- Smoke compartments
- Use of fire retardant materials
- Escape routes, emergency exits

Technical

- Fire dampers
- Fire alarm system
- Extinguishing systems (e. g. sprinkler)
- Smoke control systems
- Overpressure systems

Organisational

- Alarm and evacuation plans
- Emergency concepts
- Training of staff
- Periodical evacuation trainings
- Briefing of the fire brigade

Belimo Safety Solutions Europe



Field of applications





Benefits of Motorising

Fire & Smoke Dampers





Impact of fires:

Loss and damage of...

- Lives
- Assets
- Environment
- Business operation
- Adjacent buildings



Motorised Fire & Smoke Dampers





Main protection target:





Where are fire dampers required?

- Basic principle: The defined protection targets from the fire safety concept of a building must be reached.
 - → The spread of fire and smoke through ventilation ducts must be prevented.
- Fire dampers have to be used when:
 - Ventilation ducts penetrate fire walls
 - Ventilation ducts penetrate fire rated ceilings

Note: Fire dampers must be installed in such a way, that cleaning and maintenance work is supported.





Early sealing of compartment with motorised fire dampers

- Activation of fire dampers by fire alarm system
- Activation directly by a relevant smoke detector
- Activation by the building managament system
- \rightarrow Today's state of the art solution





Early sealing of compartment with motorised fire dampers

- Example animation "Hotel room"
- Ventilation off situation







Motorised FDs

By AFC Air Flow Consulting AG, Zurich, Switzerland

• Project objective

• To demonstrate possible advantages (time gain) of the activation of a fire damper installed in the outlet air duct by a smoke detector compared to the activation by a thermal fuse (fusible link)

Approach

• CFD simulations (Computational Fluid Dynamics) of a standardised office fire on the basis of various room types







Basic definitions

- Typical office building
- Room dimensions
 - Small: 600.0 m² (20 x 30 m)
 - Medium: 1'200.0 m² (30 x 40 m)
 - Large: 2'400.0 m² (40 x 60 m)
- Room height: 4.0 m
- Ventilation
 - Standard ventilation system
 - No smoke control system
- Sprinkler system: None





Smoke flow simulations

• Fire definiton

- Slowly developing fire
- No sprinkler system
- Power: max. 1 MW



ASHRAE

Qatar Oryx Chapter

FAIL-SAFE SOLUTIONS BY BELIMO



• Room layouts and location of the fire source

• Small room 20 x 30 m



Measuring point a) Thermal fuse

- h) Smoko dotoct
- b) Smoke detector







Room layout and location of the fire source

• Medium room 30 x 40 m



Measuring point

- a) Thermal fuse
- b) Smoke detector







Room layouts and location of fire source

• Large room 40 x 60 m



Measuring point a) Thermal fuse

- b) Smoke detector







Simulation results: Small room (600 m², 2'400 m³/h)





Simulation results: Medium room (1'200 m², 4'800 m³/h)





• Simulation results: Large room (2'400 m², 9'600 m³/h)





Summary of the results / conclusions

- All results depend strongly on the fire szenario, the room layout and the location of detection
- All conclusions are valid under consideraton of the limits of the used model
- Thanks to the use of a smoke detector fire dampers can close from 3:00 up to approx. 10:00 min earlier compared to temperature triggering
- There are even fire scenarios where the operating temperature is never reached at the measuring point!
- Smoke detectors in combination with motorised fire dampers allow the most reliable and earliest activation in case of a fire.







This report summarises the work carried out during this project. This includes a literature search and review of fire loss reports and data from actual fires relating to fires involving HVAC systems from UK and US sources which sought to identify relevant trends in performance during different fire situations. In addition, the results of the experimental programme are presented. The experimental work was carried out in two stages, one stage looked at the fire resistance of three different duct and damper installations built to current industry based codes. The second stage consisted of a detailed examination of the activation of dampers contained within the ductwork when exposed to developing fires.



The results of this work showed that dampers vary in terms of their activation characteristics. In the early stages of a developing fire, this project has indicated that dampers relying only on fusible links may not shut in a fan-off situation because the gas temperatures recorded at the fusible link at the damper position may be below the operating temperature of the fusible links (70°C).

In some cases, it has also been shown that dampers may not close in the fan-on situation and this is partly dependent on the position of the fusible link within the duct. If the fusible link is low down in the duct, it will be exposed to lower gas temperatures and may not reach its activation temperature. It is also to be noted that intumescent dampers in general, are designed to activate at higher temperatures than fusible links.

In some applications, it is therefore considered that fire and smoke combination dampers intended to prevent the spread of smoke and fire that are activated only by fusible links may not be suitable.

The multi-blade, circular single blade and intumescent fire dampers functioned extremely well in the fire resistance test which was designed to replicate three typical damper/duct/wall installations. All dampers closed/sealed and were able to provide the required fire resistance. Therefore, in a post-flashover fire situation, as represented by the fire resistance test, our work has shown that dampers can close and contribute to the maintenance of compartmentation.





←fan-off

←fan-on



	FAN ON	FAN ON	FAN OFF
	500kW	300kW	after 2 Min.
Average Closing Time	406s	551s	-
Did not Activate	2 out of 7	1 out of 9	4 out of 4

Motorised Fire & Smoke Dampers



Sequence control of motorised fire dampers

• Sealing of compartment and overpressure generation



Motorised Fire & Smoke Dampers



Summary Non-motorised vs. motorised fire dampers

Conventional fire dampers

- Only activates when temperature reaches 72°C local to the damper – does not protect against the spread of cool smoke
- Maintenance is difficult, costly and complicated.
- Testing is seldom achieved.
- Restricted applications not suitable for:
 - fan on systems
 - means of escape
 - sleeping risk dwelling
- Fixed position no control.

Motorised fire dampers

- Fast activation via the fire detection system therefore giving increased protection against the spread of cool smoke
- Remote testing:
 - regular functional checks
 - operating diagnostics
- Privides compartmentation:
 - early zone compartmentation in the event of fire
 - night shutdown
 - non-occupancy
- Suitable for all applications.



Mechanical Smoke Extraction

(Smoke Control)

Some Facts

Where there is a fire, there also is smoke.

Example: 1 kg of burning PVC produces $>500 \text{ m}^3$ of smoke.

Smoke, usually toxic gases, is the most common cause of death in a fire.

90% of the people die due to the smoke.

Smoke is a killer!







Toxic Effects of Smoke





Composition of smoke:

- Toxic gases
- Irritant gases
- Pyrolysis products (VOC)
- Solid particles (carbon)

Symptoms:

- Headache, vertigo, shortage of breath, scraping in the throat, sickness
- Loss of consciousness, breakdonwn, edema of the lung, death

 \rightarrow Five breaths are enough to die.

Psycological Effect of Smoke





Movement and Spread of Smoke

- Smoke gets a lift (buoyancy) from hot gases in the burning zone
- Smoke spreads within the burning zone
- With the stack effect smoke can spread in the entire building
- Wind pressures on the facade of a building have an influence on the movement of smoke, as well as ventilation and air conditioning systems and elevators
- Smoke is rather difficult to control, depending of what is burning, the size of the fire and how hot it is



Multi-Storey Building : Volumetric Smoke





SMART FIRE

Movement and Spread of Smoke



- Not only people are in danger of smoke, also assets values and the operability of businesses and organisations.
- Fire safety concepts for buildings should mandatorily also contain most effective measures for the protection against smoke (not only against heat).



Mechanical Smoke Extraction (Smoke Control)



Where are smoke control systems required?

- Basic principle: The defined protection targets from the fire safety concept of a building must be reached.
- Depending on the construction and the use of a building, this can only be reached by using a powered smoke control system.
- Typically smoke control systems are used in buildings with "special utilisation", e. g.:
 - Cinemas
 - High rise buildings
 - Hospitals
 - Hotels
 - Car parks, underground parking
 - Malls, shopping centers
 - Museums



Basic Principle of Smoke Control



Mechanical Smoke extraction

• Example animation "Cinema"



Influence of Extracting Smoke




Benefits of Extracting Smoke







Supports the evacuation of people and animals by creating a smoke free layer



Cools the fire compartment and delays / prevents flash and the subsequent development of the fire



Supports rescue and fire fighting work



Protects assets and reduces the thermal effects on structural components during a fire

Definitions of Smoke Control Systems

As an example acc. to EN 12101-8 (Product Standard SC Dampers)



ASHRAE

Qatar Oryx Chapter

Definitions of Smoke Control Systems

As an example acc. to EN 12101-8 (Product Standard SC Dampers)



Qatar Oryx Chapter

ASHRAE





- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





1 Fire compartment

- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





4

1 Fire compartment

2 Smoke reservoir

Air inlet

- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





- Smoke reservoir 2
- Air inlet 4

6

- 5 Smoke barrier
 - Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) 7
- Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9) 8
- Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8) 9
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment



ASHRAE Qatar Oryx Chapter





Key

7

- 1 Fire compartment
- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
 - Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





- 1 Fire compartment
- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





- 1 Fire compartment
- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment





FAIL-SAFE SOLUTIONS BY BELIMO



- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct
- 12 Electrical equipment









- 1 Fire compartment
- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor

11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct

12 Electrical equipment





- 1 Fire compartment
- 2 Smoke reservoir
- 4 Air inlet
- 5 Smoke barrier
- 6 Powered smoke and heat exhaust ventilator (fan)
- 7 Smoke control dampers for single compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10)
- 8 Smoke control ducts for single compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-9)
- 9 Smoke control ducts for multi compartments (EN12101-7 and EN1366-8)
- 10 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted inside or outside of wall or floor
- 11 Smoke control dampers for multi compartments (EN12101-8 and EN1366-10) mounted on the surface of the duct

12 Electrical equipment











Special Topic:

Sprinkler Systems

Effects of Sprinkler Systems from a Smoke Control Point of View





Positive:

• Extinguishing the fire

Not positive:

- Production of low temperature smoke
- Production of moist and dense smoke
- Creates more smoke volume





If mechanical (non-motorised) fire dampers are installed and/or no smoke control system is available the following side-effects can be expected:

- Low temperature smoke passes through the ventilation system without triggering the fusible link. It also reduces the buoyancy of the smoke layer.
- Moist smoke produces sulphuric acid and smoke adhesion
- Dense smoke reduces visibility
 - Impedes the evacuation of people
 - Reduces the effectiveness of fire fighting

Increased smoke volume generates overpressure

- Increasing the speed of smoke travel through the system
- Entering neighbouring zones
- Contributes to the stack effect

Side-Effects of Sprinkler Systems (2/2)



How to avoid the side-effects of sprinkler systems:

- Motorise all fire dampers (controlled by smoke detectors)
 - Stops the spread of fire and smoke gains time
 - Regular checking of safety systems only as good as the last time it was tested
 - MTBF is increased
 - Closing the dampers during the night (most fires start at night)
 - Energy saving
- Provide a smoke control system



for F&S Applications



• Integration solutions

• Conventional control with position feedback





Integration solutions

• Zone solution with controller





Integration solutions

• Bus networks such as Modbus RTU or BACnet MS/TP







• Integration solutions

• Various protocols via DDC controller





• Integration solutions

• LONWorks® via gateways





• Main advantages of monitoring & control systems for F&S dampers

- Reduced costs during the operation of the building
- Considerable reduction of wiring effort
- Considerable reduction of fire load within the building
- Full transparency througout the building
 - Event logging
 - Failure protocols
 - Regular testing \rightarrow Reduced risk level within the building

Regular Testing → Reduced Risk Non-motorised Fire Damper







Regular Testing → Reduced Risk Motorised Fire Damper (Conventional Actuator) PROTECTOR LIFE Motorised Fire Damper (Conventional Actuator)



Regular Testing → Reduced Risk ASHRAE Qatar Oryx Chapter Motorised Fire Damper (Communicative Actuator) PROTECT CLIFE





UL / EN Comparison

UL / EN Standards Comparison







Underwriters Laboratories



Communauté Européenne

UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Standards







Fire Dampers

• UL 555

Test Standard

Fire Dampers

• EN 15650

•

•

•

•

- Product Standard
- EN 1366-2 Test Standard
- EN 13501-3 Classification Standard

Smoke Dampers

• UL 555S

Test Standard

Smoke Control Dampers

- EN 12101-8 Product Standard
 - EN 1366-10 Test Standard
 - EN 13501-4 Classification Standard

UL / EN Standards Comparison Additional Standards



				ĊĊ	
•	UL 33	Heat Responsive Links for Fire-Protection Service	•	ISO 10294-4	Test of thermal release mechanism
•	UL 873	Temperature-Indication and -Regulating Equipment	•	EN 60730	Automatic electrical controls for household and similar use
UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Differences – Typical Fire Dampers





Typical Fire Damper (Curtain Damper)



Typical Multi-Blade Fire Damper



Typical Combination Fire Smoke Damper



Single-Blade Round Fire Damper



Single-Blade Square Fire Damper



Single-Blade Round Fire Damper



Multi-Blade Fire Damper

UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Differences – Fire dampers (1/2)



	UL	EN
Operational reliability	Fire endurance Hose stream	50 cycles Fire test (Standard temp. curve)
Response delay	n.a.	2min. (3min.)
Fire resistance - integrity (E)	Fire endurance Hose stream	360m3/h*m2 @ ^{300Pa}
- insulation (I)	not applicable	140°C / 180°C @ 300Pa
- smoke leakage (S)	147, 367, 1469m3/h*m2 @ 1'100Pa	200m3/h*m2 @ ^{300Pa}
Durability	250, 20'000, 100'000 cycles	300, 10'200, 20'000 cycles
Туре	Combination Fire Smoke Damper	Fire Damper

UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Differences – Fire dampers (2/2)



	UL	EN
Actuator - Design, Construction	diecast aluminium	steel, form-fit, manual operation
- Connection to BAE	n.a.	protected cable (shortcut)
- Test-Switch BAE	n.a.	yes
- Status indicator	n.a.	yes
- Aux. Switches	n.a.	integrated, fix position, form-fit
Туре	Combination Fire Smoke Damper	Fire Damper

UL / EN Standards Comparison ASHRAE Qatar Oryx Chapter Main Differences – Smoke Control Dampers (1/2) PROTECT

	UL	EN
Operational reliability	20'000, 100'000 cycles	300, 10'200, 20'000 cycles
Response delay	75s	60s
Nominal activation	n.a.	man. / aut. activation (MI, AA)
Fire resistance - integrity (E)	Fire endurance Hose stream	360m3/h*m2 @ 300Pa
- insulation (I)	not applicable	140°C / 180°C @ 300Pa
- smoke leakage (S)	147, 367, 1469m3/h*m2 @ 1'100Pa	200m3/h*m2 @ 500, 1'000, 1'500Pa
- cross section	n.a.	Maintenance of cross section
- compartmentation	n.a.	Single / Multi
Mechanical stability	ху	Md vs. area
Туре	Combination Fire Smoke Damper	Smoke Control Damper

UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Differences – Smoke Control Dampers (2/2) PROTECT LIFE

	UL	EN
Actuator	dia sa st oluminium	staal form fit monual operation
- Design, Construction	decast auminium	steer, form-fit, manual operation
- Controls	ON / OFF	1-wire- / 2-wire- controls
- Torque running	pressure, air volume	min. 33Nm @ 45° (800x1'500mm2)
- Torque holding	n.a.	50Nm
- Aux. Switches	n.a.	integrated, fix position, form-fit
Safety Positions	1 (closed)	2 (open, closed)
Туре	Combination Fire Smoke Damper	Smoke Control Damper

UL / EN Standards Comparison Main Differences – Test Procedures

ASHRAE Qatar Oryx Chapter

FAIL-SAFE SOLUTIONS BY BELIMO



Thank you for your attention!

